1	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
2	HALE AND DORR LLP SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086)	
3	Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400	
4	Palo Alto, California 94306	
	Telephone: (650) 858-6000	
5	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	
6	DAVID Z. GRINGER (pro hac vice)	
7	David.Gringer@wilmerhale.com 7 World Trade Center	
8	250 Greenwich Street New York, New York 10007	
9	Telephone: (212) 230-8800 Facsimile: (212) 230-8888	
10	ARI HOLTZBLATT (pro hac vice)	
11	Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com MOLLY M. JENNINGS (pro hac vice)	
12	Molly.Jennings@wilmerhale.com	
13	1875 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20006	
	Telephone: (202) 663-6000	
14	Facsimile: (202) 663-6363	
15	Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.	
16	(formerly known as Facebook, Inc.)	
17	HNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
20		G N 500 00550 TD
21	MAXIMILIAN KLEIN, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 5:20-cv-08570-JD
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JITIN KHURANA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S
23	v.	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
24	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware	CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
25	Corporation,	PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
26	Defendant.	SEALED
		Judge: Hon. James Donato
27		
28		
1	1	

I, Jitin Khurana, declare as follows:

- 1. I am Head of Business Development, Ads and Platform, at Meta Platforms, Inc ("Meta"). I have been employed by Meta since January 2020 and I have held my current position since that date. Over the course of my employment at Meta, I have acquired personal knowledge of Meta's practices and procedures concerning the maintenance of the confidentiality of its strategic and business information.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Meta Platforms, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed, Dkt. 236.
- 3. The contents of this declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and are based on my personal knowledge of Meta's policies and practices as they relate to the treatment of confidential information, the materials that were provided to me and reviewed by me, and/or informed conversations with other knowledgeable employees of Meta. If called upon as a witness in this action, I could and would testify competently to the matters discussed in this declaration.
- 4. Meta requires confidential treatment of all confidential commercial proposals to third parties and confidential agreements with third parties; and internal, future strategic business plans. Third parties in an array of contexts entrust confidential information to Meta and they have an expectation that Meta has sufficient controls and processes in place to maintain and protect the confidentiality of that information. In my experience and to the best of my knowledge, Meta does not disclose internal documents or confidential agreements or proposals of this nature outside of the company.

SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE SEALED

5. To the best of my knowledge, the following information in the FAC and Redline is confidential, and derives from confidential materials Meta produced in response to document

requests or to civil investigative demands. Disclosure of the material will harm Meta's ability to negotiate deals with its business partners, for the reasons explained below.

- 6. **Details of negotiations leading to the agreement described in Paragraphs 441-452, 454, 455, 458-461 and 463 of the FAC.** These parts of the FAC and Redline contain non-public information regarding the negotiation of a confidential agreement with a counterparty concerning Meta's non-use of certain data. This information has never been disclosed publicly, and disclosure would severely and adversely impact Meta's ability to negotiate similar agreements in the future. If revealed to competitors and potential business counterparties, those companies would use this non-public and confidential information to disadvantage Meta in future negotiations. For example, the redacted portions of the complaint describe certain confidential information that Meta provided to the counterparty during the course of negotiations. If disclosed, other counterparties would demand similar information during negotiations, threatening Meta's ability to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive internal information. And, the details of the negotiations will shed light on the goals and terms of the ultimate agreement reached, which if disclosed, as I explain below, would also cause competitive harm to Meta.
- 7. Goals and terms of the agreement described in the Table of Contents and Paragraphs 10, 436-438, and 464-472 of the FAC. These parts of the FAC and Redline contain non-public information regarding the terms of a confidential agreement with a counterparty concerning Meta's non-use of certain data. This information has never been disclosed publicly, and disclosure would severely and adversely impact Meta's ability to negotiate agreements in the future. If revealed to competitors and potential business counterparties, those companies would use this non-public and confidential information to disadvantage Meta and/or the counterparty in negotiations. For example, if disclosed, a competitor of the counterparty would become aware of the details of the counterparty's agreement (e.g., particular terms that Meta had agreed to in the

past), and it could use this as leverage in business negotiations to the detriment of Meta and/or its business counterparty.

- 8. Goals and terms of the agreements described in Paragraphs 9, 500-502, 509-524, 530, 531, 534, and 535 of the FAC. These parts of the FAC and Redline contain non-public information regarding the terms of a series of confidential agreements with a counterparty concerning Meta's use of particular data to inform content development decisions, including information about the particular data at issue and internal testing related to particular deal terms. This information has never been disclosed publicly, and disclosure would severely and adversely impact Meta's ability to negotiate agreements in the future. If revealed to competitors and potential business counterparties, those companies could use this non-public and confidential information to disadvantage Meta and/or the counterparty in negotiations. For example, if disclosed, a competitor of the counterparty would become aware of the details of the counterparty's agreement (e.g., particular terms that Meta had agreed to in the past), and it could use this as leverage in business negotiations to the detriment of Meta and/or its business counterparty.
- 9. Information about ad spend by certain advertisers described in Paragraphs 440, 456, 463, 485, 486, and 503 of the FAC. These parts of the FAC and Redline contain non-public information regarding advertising sales to individual advertisers. This information is considered very sensitive—even within Meta, information about total ad sales is generally disclosed only to teams with direct responsibility for those sales. It is not disclosed publicly. If revealed to Meta's competitors, those competitors could use this information to Meta's disadvantage. For example, if another company that sells advertising knew how much a company spent on advertising from Meta in a given year, it could more effectively seek to divert those ad sales to its own advertising products.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 7th day of March 2022 in Fremont, California. By: Jitin Khurana Head of Business Development, Ads and Platform Meta Platforms, Inc